



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,881	01/18/2002	Albert E. Johnson	4500-7 (04500.0012.6)	7900
26158	7590	01/22/2007	EXAMINER	
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC			MORRIS, TERELL H	
ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING 32ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 7037			1771	
ATLANTA, GA 30357-0037			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/051,881	JOHNSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Terrel H. Morris	1771

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Terrel H. Morris.

(3) _____.

(2) Lewis Rowell.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 January 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: New claim 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Corry, Gatta, Duckman.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the new claim 27. It was noted how it brought together several features of the previous dependent claims and set them forth in combination. Key among these is the fact that the outer fabric is composed of both coated and uncoated yarns. Currently, there seems to be no evidence to provide the fabric of Corry with only a portion of the yarns being coated. The evidence of record, at best suggests all or nothing in the way of coatings for the yarns of the outer fabric. Additionally, the yarn structures of Duckman are provided to improve hand. There is no suggestion or expectation that this feature would be retained if coated as required instantly. As such, the motivation of using Duckman seems lacking for the proposed claim. Additionally, it was discussed how the chemical Markush groups do not seem to be required to distinguish over the prior art and as such, would not be necessary in any filed claim .

WOMBLE
CARLYLE
SANDRIDGE
& RICE

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY



300 North Greene Street
Greensboro, North Carolina 27401
Telephone: (336) 574-8030
Fax: (336) 574-4520
Web Site: www.wcsr.com

Lewis S. Rowell
Direct Dial: (336) 574-8090
Direct Fax: (336) 574-4516
E-mail: lrowell@wcsr.com

FACSIMILE

January 16, 2007

Number of Pages: 3

Please call the following number if the message you receive is incomplete or not legible: (336) 574-8090

C/M

G4500-007

To:	Company:	Fax:	Phone:
Examiner Terrel Morris	USPTO	571-273-1478	

Re: Serial No. 10/051,881

Examiner,

Attached is new Claim 27 for our interview today at 10:00 am.

Regards,

Lewis S. Rowell

Attachment to Interview

CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED: The information contained in this facsimile is privileged and confidential information intended for the sole use of the addressee. If the reader of this facsimile is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the person listed above, and return the original message by mail to the sender at the address listed above.

WINSTON 1276592v1

Claim 27 (new) A roofing material for automobiles, comprising:

~~a multi-layer composite convertible top fabric comprising:~~

(a) an outer woven fabric layer formed of at least 50% by weight polymeric coated specialty yarns and other effect yarns, the outer fabric layer having an outer surface and an inner surface, wherein:

(i) the specialty yarns are formed of a core yarn selected from the group consisting of polyester, nylon, acrylic, polyester, fiberglass, aramids, olefins, and carbon fibers, the core yarn having a denier between 70-1200 and being covered by a polymeric coating selected from the group consisting of polyvinyl chloride nylon olefins; thermoplastic oleckon elstimors, urethane, EVA polyester, ionomer, polyphenylene sulfide, polyethersulfone, fluoropolymer, polyethertone, liquid crystal polymers, and the denier of the specialty yarns ranging from 500-3500;

(ii) the effect yarns are selected from the group consisting of acrylics, modacrylics, polypropylene, polyethylene, and polyester, and the denier and weight of the effect yarns are substantially the same as the weight and denier of the corresponding specialty yarns;

(b) an inner layer of non-coated yarns conventionally used as headliner fabric, the inner fabric layer having an inner surface adjacent to the inner surface of the outer fabric layer; and

(c) an adhesive waterproofing layer formed of a material selected from the group consisting of rubber and thermoplastic olefins, the waterproofing layer extending across the entire inner surface area of the outer layer and bonding the inner surface of the outer layer to the inner surface area of the inner layer and

Proposed at interview

thereby making the roofing material waterproof, wherein the outer layer maintains the appearance of a woven fabric without altering the structure of the outer layer.

Proposed at interview